COURT-1

IN THE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL FOR ELECTRICITY
(Appellate Jurisdiction)

APL No. 379 OF 2025 & IA No. 293 OF 2026 & IA No. 452 OF 2026 & IA No. 469 OF 2026

Dated: 24th April, 2026

Present: Hon`ble Ms. Seema Gupta, Officiating Chairperson
Hon`ble Mr. Virender Bhat, Judicial Member

In the matter of:

Kharghar Vikhroli Transmission Limited (formerly known as Kharghar Vikhroli Transmission Private Limited)	....	Appellant(s)
Versus		
Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission & Ors.	....	Respondent(s)

Counsel on record for the Appellant(s) : Gaurav Dudeja
Dhruval Singh
Abhijit Debnath
for App. 1

Counsel on record for the Respondent(s) : Pratiti Rungta
for Res. 1

Abhikalp Pratap Singh
for Res. 2

Tavinder Pal Sidhu
A. P. Singh
Neetica Sharma
Naman Saraswat
Saloni Jagga
Shivangi Chawla
Kanav Singhal
for Res. 5

Rucha Pande
for Res. 10

Shirish K Deshpande
for Res. 11

ORDER

This matter is listed today on the basis of the Registry's Office Note dated 21.04.2026.

IA NO. 293 OF 2026
(For permission to file reply by R-5)

By way of this application, the 5th Respondent, seeks permission to file reply in view of our observations contained in the order dated 12.02.2026.

Heard Ms. Neetica Sharma, Learned Counsel for the 5th Respondent. Considering the prayer in the application and the submission made by her, permission to file reply by the 5th Respondent is granted subject to payment of costs of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty-Five Thousand only) to the Central Transmission Utility of India Limited (CTUIL) within four weeks, and file proof payment in the Registry within two weeks thereafter. The reply filed by the 5th Respondent shall be taken on record upon submission of payment of costs. The application is disposed of.

IA NO. 452 OF 2026
(For condonation of delay of 05 days in filing rejoinder)

The delay of 05 days in filing the rejoinder is condoned. The rejoinder filed by the Appellant shall be taken on record. The application is disposed of.

IA NO. 469 OF 2026
(For permission to file reply by R-11)

This application is filed by the 11th Respondent. However, none appears for the 11th Respondent nor is there any representation on their behalf. The IA is dismissed for non-prosecution.

APPEAL NO. 379 OF 2025

Mr. Gaurav Dudeja, Learned Counsel for the Appellant seeks, four weeks' time to file rejoinder to the reply filed by the 5th Respondent. Time, as sought for, is granted.

After pleadings are complete, and after verification by the Registry, let this Appeal be re-included in the '**List of Finals'**, to be taken up from there for hearing, in its turn.

Virender Bhat Judicial Member	Seema Gupta Officiating Chairperson

tpd/nks