

COURT-1

IN THE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL FOR ELECTRICITY
(Appellate Jurisdiction)

DFR No : 362 of 2025 & IA No. 1476 OF 2025 & IA No. 1474 OF 2025 & IA
No. 1475 OF 2025 & IA No. 1567 OF 2025

Dated: 8th December, 2025

Present : Hon`ble Mr. Justice Ramesh Ranganathan, Chairperson
Hon`ble Mr. Ajay Talegaonkar, Technical Member (Electricity)

In the matter of:

GRIDCO Limited

.... Appellant(s)

Versus

Odisha Electricity Regulatory Commission & Ors.

.... Respondent(s)

Counsel on record for the Appellant(s) : Raj Kumar Mehta
Himanshi Andley
for App. 1

Counsel on record for the Respondent(s) :
for Res. 1

Natasha Debroy
Aman Anand
Srishti Gupta
for Res. 2

ORDER

IA NO. 1476 OF 2025
(for urgent listing)

Since the matter during listed has already served its purpose, this IA has become infructuous. The application is dismissed accordingly.

IA 1567 of 2025
(for extension of time)

Mr. R.K. Mehta, Learned Counsel for the Appellant, seeks extension of time and undertakes to file certified copy of the impugned order within one week from today. Recording his submission, the IA is closed.

IA NO. 1474 OF 2025
(for condonation of delay of 186 in filing the appeal)

While the delay as computed by the Registry is of 186 days. Mr. R.K. Mehta, Learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the Appellant, would submit that, if the period spent by the Appellant in prosecuting the review petition is excluded, then in fact there is no delay in filing the appeal. The original order, impugned in this appeal, was passed on 11.02.2025, a copy of which the Appellant claims to have been received on 15.02.2025. The Appellant filed a review petition before the Commission on 21.04.2025 which was dismissed by order dated 14.08.2025 a copy of the impugned order was received by the Appellant on 21.08.2025. The present appeal was filed, within the 45 days limitation period stipulated for filing the appeal under Section 111(2) of the Electricity Act, on 30.09.2025.

Ordinarily, the period spent in prosecuting the review petition is required to be excluded in computing the delay. From the date of the review order, it is evident that there is no delay. The delay, as computed by the Registry, is condoned, and the application is disposed of.

DFR No : 362 of 2025 & IA No. 1475 OF 2025

Since court notice has been served on all the Respondents, failure on the part of the Appellant to file affidavit of service is of no consequence.

Mr. Aman Anand, Learned Counsel for the 2nd Respondent, seeks four weeks' time to file their reply to the IA and in the main appeal. Mr. R.K. Mehta, Learned Counsel for the Appellant, seeks two weeks' time thereafter to file their rejoinder, if any.

List the IA No. 1475 of 2025 (for interim relief) on **30.01.2026**.

Ajay Talegaonkar
Technical Member (Electricity)

Justice Ramesh Ranganathan
Chairperson

sk/kns