

COURT-1

IN THE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL FOR ELECTRICITY
(Appellate Jurisdiction)

APL No. 362 OF 2025 & IA No. 1464 OF 2025 & IA No. 7 OF 2026

Dated: 13th January, 2026

Present: Hon`ble Mr. Justice Ramesh Ranganathan, Chairperson
Hon`ble Mr. Ajay Talegaonkar, Technical Member (Electricity)

In the matter of:

Amplus Sun Solutions Pvt. Ltd. Appellant(s)

Versus

Haryana Electricity Regulatory Commission & Ors. Respondent(s)

Counsel on record for the Appellant(s) : Amit Kapur
Anupam Varma
Adamya Ojha
Rahul Kinra
Aditya Ajay
Yash Srivastava
Girdhar Gopal Khattar
for App. 1

Counsel on record for the Respondent(s) : Shlok Chandra
for Res. 1

Shubham Arya
Rishabh Saxena
Pallavi Saigal
Kaavya Madaan
Poorva Saigal
Reeha Singh
Shree Dwivedi for Res. 2

ORDER

IA-7/2026

(IA for permission to file the reply) (R-2)

The second Respondent did not enter appearance when the matter was listed after service of notice. They have now filed the present application seeking permission to file reply. Having chosen to enter appearance by filing vakalatnama at their convenience, the 2nd Respondent cannot be heard to contend that they should be granted

time to file reply for the mere asking. Despite repeated reminders of the need to file pleadings within the time sought for by the Counsel themselves, applications are still being filed seeking further extension of time for completing pleadings. Such requests not only disable us from striving to achieve the objective of the Electricity Act to dispose of the Appeal within 180 days, but also results in needless wastage of precious court time which can be more usefully spent in hearing Appeals on merits.

The present case is yet another instance of procrastination. We, however, see no reason to deny the second Respondent an opportunity to file their reply. We consider it appropriate to permit them to file reply on condition that the second Respondent pays costs of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) to the Central Transmission Utility of India Limited (CTUIL) within two weeks, and file proof of payment in the Registry within one week thereafter. Subject to compliance with the aforesaid directions, the reply filed by the second Respondent shall be taken on record.

The application is disposed of.

The second Respondent shall also intimate the CTUIL of the Appeal No. and the date of Order, in terms of which costs are being paid.

IA-1464/2025 IN APL-362/2025

Heard Mr. Amit Kapur, Learned Counsel for the Appellant and Mr. Shubham Arya, Learned Counsel for the second Respondent, in part.

Post the matter for further hearing on **14.01.2026.**

Ajay Talegaonkar
Technical Member (Electricity)

Justice Ramesh Ranganathan
Chairperson