

COURT-1

IN THE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL FOR ELECTRICITY
(Appellate Jurisdiction)

APL No. 302 OF 2025 & IA No. 72 OF 2026 & IA No. 1388 OF 2025 &
IA No. 1894 OF 2025 & IA No. 1893 OF 2025

Dated: 15th January, 2026

Present : Hon`ble Mr. Justice Ramesh Ranganathan, Chairperson
Hon`ble Mr. Ajay Talegaonkar, Technical Member (Electricity)

In the matter of:

Southern Power Distribution Company of Telangana Ltd. Appellant(s)
Versus

Hyderabad MSW Energy Solutions Pvt. Ltd. & Anr. Respondent(s)

Counsel on record for the Appellant(s) : D. Abhinav Rao
for App. 1

Counsel on record for the Respondent(s) : Matrugupta Mishra
Swagatika Sahoo
Sonakshi
Nipun Dave
Akanksha V. Ingole
Vanshika Saraf
Kushagra Kundan
Sudha Reddy
for Res. 1

Somanadri Goud Katam
for Res. 2

ORDER

IA NO. 1894 OF 2026

(for condonation of delay of 55 days in filing reply-R-1)

This application is filed for condonation of delay of 55 days in filing reply by the 1st Respondent beyond the time stipulated by this Tribunal in its earlier order.

Despite repeated reminders of the need to file pleadings within the time sought for by the Counsel themselves, requests such as these are still being made seeking further extension of time for completing pleadings. Such requests not only disable us from striving to achieve the objective of the Act to dispose of the main Appeals within the statutorily prescribed period of 180 days, but also results in needless wastage of precious court time, which can be more usefully spent in hearing Appeals on merits.

The present case is yet another instance of procrastination. We, however, see no reason to deny the 1st Respondent an opportunity to file their reply. We consider it appropriate to permit them to file reply within two weeks on condition that the 1st Respondent pays costs of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) to the Central Transmission Utility of India Limited (CTUIL). Mr. Matrugupta Mishra, Learned Counsel for the 1st Respondent undertakes to file proof of payment in the Registry within one week from today. Subject to compliance with the aforesaid directions, the delay of 55 days in filing the reply by the 1st Respondent is condoned, and the reply filed by the 1st Respondent shall be taken on record. The application is disposed of.

The 1st Respondent shall also intimate the CTUIL of the Appeal No. and the date of Order, in terms of which costs are being paid.

APL No. 302 OF 2025 & IA No. 72 OF 2026 & IA No. 1388 OF 2025 &
IA No. 1893 OF 2025

Rejoinder, if any shall be filed in the meanwhile.

Post the matter on **22.01.2026**.

Ajay Talegaonkar
Technical Member (Electricity)

Justice Ramesh Ranganathan
Chairperson

Sk/mg