

COURT-1

IN THE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL FOR ELECTRICITY
(Appellate Jurisdiction)

APL No. 301 OF 2025 & IA No. 1397 OF 2025 & IA No. 1254 OF 2025 &
IA No. 1430 OF 2025

Dated: 6th November, 2025

Present: Hon`ble Mr. Justice Ramesh Ranganathan, Chairperson
Hon`ble Mr. Ajay Talegaonkar, Technical Member (Electricity)

In the matter of:

Adani Wind Energy MP One Private Limited (Formerly
Known as M/s SBESS Services Project co Two Private Appellant(s)
Limited)

Versus

Central Electricity Regulatory Commission & Ors. Respondent(s)

Counsel on record for the Appellant(s) : Hemant Singh
Mridul Chakravarty
Biju Mattam
Sourav Roy
Supriya Rastogi Agarwal
Chetan Kumar Garg
Lakshyajit Singh Bagdwal
Ankita Bafna
Harshit Singh
Nehul Sharma
Alchi Thapliyal
Sanjeev Singh Thakur
Lavanya Panwar
Indrayudh Chowdhury
Devansh Pundir for App. 1

Counsel on record for the Respondent(s) : Anand K. Ganesan
Robin Singh
Swapna Seshadri
Utkarsh Singh
Rupinder Kaur for Res. 2

Ranjitha Ramachandran
Srishti Khindaria
Aneesh Bajaj for Res. 3

ORDER

IA NO. 1254 OF 2025 (For deletion)
and

IA NO. 1430 OF 2025
(For interim relief)

Mr. Hemant Singh, Learned Counsel for the Appellant, seeks permission to withdraw IA No. 1254 of 2025. The said IA is dismissed as withdrawn.

Learned Counsel, would further state that the Appellant has complied with the impugned order and consequently, they do not press for adjudication of IA No. 1430 of 2025 for grant of interim relief. The said IA is dismissed as not pressed making it clear that the payment made by the Appellant in terms of the impugned order shall be subject to the result of the main appeal.

IA NO. 1397 OF 2025
(For filing additional document)

Mrs. Swapna Seshadri, Learned Counsel for the 2nd Respondent, expresses no objection to the additional document filed along with IA No. 1397 of 2025 being taken on record. Permission, as sought for, by the Appellant is granted, and the IA is disposed of.

APPEAL NO. 301 OF 2025

Since the Appellant has filed an affidavit of proof of service of notice on all the Respondents, failure to effect service of notice through court, on some of the Respondents, is of no consequence.

Reply shall be filed by the Respondents within six weeks and rejoinder thereto, if any, shall be filed within four weeks' thereafter. After pleadings are complete, and after verification by the Registry, let this Appeal be included in the '**List of Finals**', to be taken up from there, in its turn.

Ajay Talegaonkar
Technical Member (Electricity)

Justice Ramesh Ranganathan
Chairperson