COURT-1

IN THE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL FOR ELECTRICITY
(Appellate Jurisdiction)

APL No. 313 OF 2025 & IA No. 1457 OF 2025 & IA No. 1711 OF 2025 & IA No. 1718 OF 2025 & IA No. 1719 OF 2025 & IA No. 1780 OF 2025 & IA No. 1781 OF 2025 & IA No. 1782 OF 2025

Dated: 5th December, 2025

Present: Hon`ble Mr. Justice Ramesh Ranganathan, Chairperson
Hon`ble Mr. Ajay Talegaonkar, Technical Member (Electricity)

In the matter of:

Rajasthan Urja Vikas and IT Services Limited (formerly known as Rajasthan Urja Vikas Nigam Limited) & Ors.	....	Appellant(s)
Versus		
Ratedi Wind Power P. Ltd & Ors.	....	Respondent(s)

Counsel on record for the Appellant(s) : Anand K. Ganesan
Swapna Seshadri
Amal Nair
Shivani Verma
Devyani Prasad for App. 1 to 4

Counsel on record for the Respondent(s) : Hemant Singh
Mridul Chakravarty
Biju Mattam
Sourav Roy
Supriya Rastogi Agarwal
Lakshyajit Singh Bagdwal
Ankita Bafna
Harshit Singh
Nehul Sharma
Alchi Thapliyal
Sanjeev Singh Thakur
Lavanya Panwar
Indrayudh Chowdhury
Devansh Pundir for Res. 1

Hemant Singh
Mridul Chakravarty
Biju Mattam
Sourav Roy
Supriya Rastogi Agarwal
Chetan Kumar Garg
Lakshyajit Singh Bagdwal
Ankita Bafna
Harshit Singh

Nehul Sharma
Alchi Thapliyal
Sanjeev Singh Thakur
Lavanya Panwar
Indrayudh Chowdhury
Devansh Pundir for Res. 2

Hemant Singh
Mridul Chakravarty
Biju Mattam
Sourav Roy
Supriya Rastogi Agarwal
Lakshyajit Singh Bagdwal
Ankita Bafna
Harshit Singh
Nehul Sharma
Alchi Thapliyal
Sanjeev Singh Thakur
Lavanya Panwar
Indrayudh Chowdhury
Devansh Pundir for Res. 3

Hemant Singh
Mridul Chakravarty
Biju Mattam
Sourav Roy
Supriya Rastogi Agarwal
Lakshyajit Singh Bagdwal
Ankita Bafna
Harshit Singh
Nehul Sharma
Alchi Thapliyal
Sanjeev Singh Thakur
Lavanya Panwar
Indrayudh Chowdhury
Devansh Pundir for Res. 4

ORDER

IA NO. 1711 OF 2025
(For condonation of delay of 4 days in filing reply by R-3)

The delay of 04 days in filing the reply is condoned. The reply filed by the 3rd Respondent shall be taken on record. The application is disposed of.

IA NO. 1718 OF 2025
(For condonation of delay of 7 days in filing reply by R-1)

Despite repeated reminders of the need to file pleadings within the time sought for by the Counsel themselves, requests such as these are

still being made seeking further extension of time for completing pleadings. Such requests not only disable us from striving to achieve the objective of the Act to dispose of the main Appeals within the statutorily prescribed period of 180 days, but also results in needless wastage of precious court time, which can be more usefully spent in hearing Appeals on merits.

The present case is yet another instance of procrastination. We, however, see no reason to deny the 1st Respondent an opportunity to file their reply. We consider it appropriate to condone the delay on condition that the 1st Respondent pay costs of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) to the Central Transmission Utility of India Limited (CTUIL) within four weeks, and file proof payment in the Registry within two weeks thereafter. Subject to compliance with the aforesaid directions, the delay in filing reply is condoned, and the reply filed by the 1st Respondent shall be taken on record. The application is disposed of.

The 1st Respondent shall also intimate the CTUIL of the Appeal No. and the date of Order, in terms of which costs are being paid.

IA NO. 1719 OF 2025

(For condonation of delay of 7 days in filing reply by R-4)

Despite repeated reminders of the need to file pleadings within the time sought for by the Counsel themselves, requests such as these are still being made seeking further extension of time for completing pleadings. Such requests not only disable us from striving to achieve the objective of the Act to dispose of the main Appeals within the statutorily prescribed period of 180 days, but also results in needless wastage of precious court time, which can be more usefully spent in hearing Appeals on merits.

The present case is yet another instance of procrastination. We, however, see no reason to deny the 4th Respondent an opportunity to file their reply. We consider it appropriate to condone the delay on condition

that the 4th Respondent pay costs of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) to the Central Transmission Utility of India Limited (CTUIL) within four weeks, and file proof payment in the Registry within two weeks thereafter. Subject to compliance with the aforesaid directions, the delay in filing reply is condoned, and the reply filed by the 4th Respondent shall be taken on record. The application is disposed of.

The 4th Respondent shall also intimate the CTUIL of the Appeal No. and the date of Order, in terms of which costs are being paid.

APPEAL NO. 313 OF 2025 &
IA NOS. 1780, 1781, 1782 & 1457 OF 2025

Mr. Amal Nair, Learned Counsel for the Appellant, seeks four weeks' time to file reply to IA Nos. 1780, 1781, 1782 and 1457 of 2025, and rejoinder to the reply filed by Respondent Nos. 1, 3 and 4. Time, as sought for, is granted.

Post the IAs on ***08.01.2026***.

Ajay Talegaonkar
Technical Member (Electricity)

Justice Ramesh Ranganathan
Chairperson

tpd/mkj