

COURT-1

IN THE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL FOR ELECTRICITY
(Appellate Jurisdiction)

APL No. 277 OF 2025 & IA No. 1174 OF 2025 & IA No. 1518 OF 2025 &
IA No. 1519 OF 2025

Dated: 27th October, 2025

Present : Hon`ble Mr. Justice Ramesh Ranganathan, Chairperson
Hon`ble Mr. Ajay Talegaonkar, Technical Member (Electricity)

In the matter of:

Tata Power Delhi Distribution Ltd. Appellant(s)

Versus

Delhi Electricity Regulatory Commission Respondent(s)

Counsel on record for the Appellant(s) : Anand Kumar Shrivastava
Shruti Kanodia
Shivam Sinha
Ishita Jain
Rishabh Dua
Nilesh Panda
Ravi Nair
Amrita Bakhshi
Ankit Bhandari
Chetan Saxena
Mudassir
Ekshat Punwani
Mani Aneja
Kshitij Ujala for App. 1

Counsel on record for the Respondent(s) : Tushar Jain for Res. 1

ORDER

IA-1518/2025

(For condonation of delay in filing reply) (R-1) (06 days) &
IA-1519/2025

(For condonation of delay in filing reply) (R-1) (06 days)

While the delay, as recorded by the Registry, is of 06 days in filing the reply, Mr. Tushar Jain, Learned Counsel for the first Respondent

would submit that the reply was sought to be filed in the Registry on 10.10.2025; however the Registry was closed on 10.10.2025 on account of public holiday; the Registry was also closed on 11th and 12th October, 2025 (Saturday and Sunday); they could file their reply only on 13.10.2025; however they served a copy of the reply on the Appellant on 10.10.2025 itself.

This Tribunal has been liberal in condoning the delay in filing reply upto 5 days, and it is only if the reply is filed beyond 5 days of the time granted earlier, are costs being imposed for the delay in completing pleadings. It does appear, in the present case, that the delay, in effect, is of 3 days. The delay is condoned and the IAs are, accordingly, disposed of.

Replies filed along with the IAs shall be taken on record.

Mr. Sajan Poovayya, Learned Senior Counsel appearing on behalf of the Appellant, would submit that the rejoinders are ready and will be filed in the Registry latest by tomorrow. His submission is recorded.

APL No. 277 OF 2025 & IA No. 1174 OF 2025

After arguing for some time, Mr. Sajan Poovayya, Learned Senior Counsel appearing on behalf of the Appellant, would fairly state that hearing of the IA would, in fact, amount to hearing of the main appeal itself. Learned Senior Counsel would further submit that the issue involved in the appeal is short, and this Tribunal may consider hearing the main appeal at the interlocutory stage itself. While agreeing that the issue may be short, Mr. Tushar Jain, Learned Counsel for the DERC, would submit that, instead of taking up the IA, this Tribunal may consider including the appeal in the List of Short Matters.

As grant of interim relief would amount to allowing the main appeal itself, we see no reason to pass any interim order in IA No. 1174 of 2025. The IA is, accordingly, dismissed.

Let the appeal be included in the List of Short Matters, to be taken up from there in its turn.

(Ajay Talegaonkar)
Technical Member (Electricity)

(Justice Ramesh Ranganathan)
Chairperson

mk/kns