

COURT-1
IN THE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL FOR ELECTRICITY
(Appellate Jurisdiction)

DFR No : 272 of 2025 & IA No. 1149 OF 2025 & IA No. 1150 OF 2025 &
IA No. 1151 OF 2025

Dated: 27th October, 2025

Present : Hon`ble Mr. Justice Ramesh Ranganathan, Chairperson
Hon`ble Mr. Ajay Talegaonkar, Technical Member (Electricity)

In the matter of:

Tamil Nadu Power Distribution Corporation Limited
(formerly known as Tamil Nadu Generation and Distribution Corporation Ltd) Appellant(s)

Versus

Tamil Nadu Electricity Regulatory Commission & Anr. Respondent(s)

Counsel on record for the Appellant(s) : Anusha Nagarajan for App. 1

Counsel on record for the Respondent(s) : Kumar Mihir
S.P. Parthasavathy for Res. 2

ORDER

IA-1149/2025

(For condonation of delay in filing the Appeal) (55 days)

While the delay, as recorded by the Registry, is of 55 days in filing the appeal, the explanation furnished for the delay of 55 days is of administrative reasons which, in our view, does not constitute “sufficient cause” for condonation of delay. As the delay, in filing the appeal in the present case, is of 55 days, we deem it appropriate to condone the delay on condition that the Applicant-Appellant pays costs of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only) to the Central Transmission Utility of India Limited (CTUIL) within four weeks from today, and files proof of payment in the Registry within two weeks thereafter.

Needless to state that, in case the aforesaid costs are not paid within four weeks from today and an affidavit of proof of payment is not filed in the Registry within two weeks thereafter, the application, for condonation of delay in filing the appeal, shall stand dismissed automatically, and the Appeal shall stand rejected.

The IA is, accordingly, disposed of.

The Appellant shall also intimate the CTUIL of the Appeal No. and the date of the Order, in terms of which costs are being paid.

IA-1151/2025
(For exemption from Impleading parties)

This application is filed to exempt the Appellant herein from impleading its Superintending Engineer as a Respondent in the Appeal. The second Respondent-Generator, in its petition filed before the TNERC, had arrayed not only the Appellant but also its Superintending Engineer as Respondents in the said petition. Since the Superintending Engineer forms part of the organisation of the Appellant, it is wholly unnecessary for the Appellant to array him as a Respondent in this appeal. The application seeking exemption is allowed and the Appellant is exempted from impleading its Superintending Engineer as a Respondent.

The application is, accordingly, disposed of.

DFR No : 272 of 2025 & IA No. 1150 OF 2025

Reply shall be filed within six weeks and the rejoinder shall be filed within two weeks thereafter.

Post the IA for hearing on **06.01.2026.**

(Ajay Talegaonkar)
Technical Member (Electricity)

mk/kns

(Justice Ramesh Ranganathan)
Chairperson