IN THE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL FOR ELECTRICITY
(Appellate Jurisdiction)

COURT I

IA No. 1233 OF 2022 & IA No. 1004 OF 2022 IN
DFR No. 260 of 2022 & IA No. 1005 OF 2022

Dated: 24.01.2023

Present: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ramesh Ranganathan, Chairperson
Hon'ble Mr. Sandesh Kumar Sharma, Technical Member

In the matter of:
Nirmal Lohiya .... Appellant(s)

Versus

Madhya Pradesh Electricity Regulatory Commission
& Ors. .... Respondent(s)

Counsel for the Appellant(s) : Mr. Nirmal Lohiya

Counsel for the Respondent(s) : Ms. Preeti Goel for R-1

Mr. Nitin Gaur for R-2

ORDER

The Appellant is an Advocate and is said to be an income tax adviser. Except for a vague statement that he does not have the financial capacity to pay the prescribed court fee, no details have been furnished as to his income. Considering the fact that the Appellant is an Advocate practicing in the District Court, and that he is also an income tax adviser, we see no justification in waiving the prescribed court fee. The Appellant is granted four weeks' time to pay the prescribed court fee failing which the Appeal shall stand automatically rejected.

In case the afore-said directions are complied with, list the matter on ***24.02.2022***.

Sandesh Kumar Sharma
Technical Member

Justice Ramesh Ranganathan
Chairperson

tpd/mkj/ks