**IN THE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL FOR ELECTRICITY**
**(Appellate Jurisdiction)**

**COURT-1**

APPEAL NO. 241 OF 2023 &
IA NO. 734 OF 2023

Dated : **09.05.2023**

Present: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ramesh Ranganathan, Chairperson
Hon'ble Mr. Sandesh Kumar Sharma, Technical Member

In the matter of:

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Nirmal Lohiya | .... | Appellant(s) |
| Versus | | |
| Madhya Pradesh Electricity Regulatory Commission & Ors. | .... | Respondent(s) |

Counsel for the Appellant(s) : -

Counsel for the Respondent(s) : Mr. Nitin Gaur for R-2

**ORDER**

Mr. Nitin Gaur, learned Counsel for the 2nd Respondent, submits that the translated documents were filed by the Appellant only on 23.03.2023, and the 2nd Respondent has filed its reply within four weeks from 23.03.2023. As the reason for the delay in filing reply is not attributable to the 2nd Respondent, we see no reason to mult the 2nd Respondent with costs for the delay in filing the reply. Let the reply of the 2nd Respondent be taken on record.

Translated copies of all the documents have not been filed. The Appellant is also not present in Court today.

Let the Appeal be listed for dismissal on ***11.05.2023***.

(Sandesh Kumar Sharma)
Technical Member

(Justice Ramesh Ranganathan)
Chairperson

*tpd/tp/ss/dk*