COURT-1

IN THE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL FOR ELECTRICITY
(Appellate Jurisdiction)

APL No. 232 OF 2025 & IA No. 1114 OF 2025 & IA No. 1192 OF 2025

Dated: 31st October, 2025

Present : Hon`ble Mr. Justice Ramesh Ranganathan, Chairperson
Hon`ble Ms. Seema Gupta, Technical Member (Electricity)

In the matter of:

Maharashtra state Electricity Distribution Company Limited	....	Appellant(s)
Versus		
Central Electricity Regulatory Commission & Ors.	....	Respondent(s)

Counsel on record for the Appellant(s)	:	Ramanuj Kumar Vishal Binod Aditya Dubey Sagnik Maitra Rimali Batra Abhishek Lalwani for App. 1
Counsel on record for the Respondent(s)	:	for Res. 1 Anand K. Ganesan Swapna Seshadri Ritu Apurva Karthikeyan M for Res. 2

ORDER

Heard Mr. C.S. Vaidyanathan, Learned Senior Counsel appearing on behalf of the Appellant and Mr. C.A. Sundaram, Learned Senior Counsel appearing on behalf of the 2nd Respondent/RGPPL.

Mr. C.S. Vaidyanathan, Learned Senior Counsel appearing on behalf of the Appellant-MSEDCL would submit that the Appellant, in their written submissions filed before this Tribunal, has also raised issues

regarding the claims of Ratnagiri for the pre-termination period as being misconceived and without any basis; and reference is made therein to various concessions granted to RGPPL, by MSEDCL and the Govt. of Maharashtra, in furtherance of the minutes of the meeting held in the Hon'ble Prime Minister's office on 17.08.2015, and regarding payments made further to the tune of Rs.972 crores.

While stating that the submissions under this head are not being given up by MSEDCL, Mr. C. S. Vaidyanathan, learned Senior Counsel appearing on behalf of the Appellant, would fairly submit that, since this issue is pending adjudication before the Supreme Court, the Appellant - MSEDCL does not seek adjudication on this aspect in the present appellate proceedings instituted against the order of the CERC.

Mr. Vishal Binod, learned counsel for the Appellant, would submit that since all the contentions raised by the Appellant, during the course of oral hearing, have been captured in the written submissions filed by them on 01.09.2025, this tribunal may ignore the additional written submissions filed by them on 17.10.2025. Mr. C.A. Sundaram, learned Senior Counsel, appearing on behalf of the 2nd Respondent RGPPL, would submit that, in addition to the gist of submissions filed by them on 02.09.2025, they have filed additional submissions on 31.10.2025 relating to the additional issues raised by MSEDCL.

Judgement is reserved.

(Seema Gupta)
Technical Member (Electricity)

(Justice Ramesh Ranganathan)
Chairperson

pr/dk