

COURT-1

IN THE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL FOR ELECTRICITY
(Appellate Jurisdiction)

APL No. 291 OF 2025 & IA No. 1712 OF 2025

Dated: 5th December, 2025

Present: Hon`ble Mr. Justice Ramesh Ranganathan, Chairperson
Hon`ble Mr. Ajay Talegaonkar, Technical Member (Electricity)

In the matter of:

Patanjali Food and Herbal Park Private Limited Appellant(s)

Versus

Uttarakhand Electricity Regulatory Commission & Ors. Respondent(s)

Counsel on record for the Appellant(s) : Amit Tyagi
Utsarg Sinsinwal
Mukul Tyagi
Shivangi Rana
Rajesh Rana
Shivani Purohit
Tanumoy Majumder
Grusha Mehta for App. 1

Counsel on record for the Respondent(s) : C.K. Rai
Anuradha Roy
Vinay Kumar Gupta
Shiva Singh for Res. 1

Sonam Anand
Yakesh Anand
Akshay Thakur
Shubham Goyal for Res. 2

Fahad Khan
Ilma Subhan
Mansi Pawar for Res. 3

ORDER

IA NO. 1712 OF 2025

(For condonation of delay of 25 days in filing reply by R-2)

Despite repeated reminders of the need to file pleadings within the time sought for by the Counsel themselves, requests such as these are still being made seeking further extension of time for completing

pleadings. Such requests not only disable us from striving to achieve the objective of the Act to dispose of the main Appeals within the statutorily prescribed period of 180 days, but also results in needless wastage of precious court time, which can be more usefully spent in hearing Appeals on merits.

The present case is yet another instance of procrastination. We, however, see no reason to deny the 2nd Respondent an opportunity to file their reply. We consider it appropriate to condone the delay on condition that the 2nd Respondents pay costs of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) to the Central Transmission Utility of India Limited (CTUIL) within three weeks, and file proof payment in the Registry within two weeks thereafter. Subject to compliance with the aforesaid directions, the delay in filing reply is condoned, and the reply filed by the 2nd Respondent shall be taken on record. The application is disposed of.

The 2nd Respondent shall also intimate the CTUIL of the Appeal No. and the date of Order, in terms of which costs are being paid.

APPEAL NO. 291 OF 2025

Mr. Vinay Kumar Gupta, Learned Counsel for the 1st Respondent, states that they do not wish to file any reply. His submission is recorded.

Mr. P. R. Malik, Learned Counsel for the Appellant, seeks one week's time to file rejoinder. Time, as sought for, is granted.

After pleadings are complete, and after verification by the Registry, let this Appeal be included in the '**List of Finals**', to be taken up from there for hearing, in its turn.

Ajay Talegaonkar
Technical Member (Electricity)

Justice Ramesh Ranganathan
Chairperson