COURT-1
IN THE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL FOR ELECTRICITY
(Appellate Jurisdiction)

DFR No : 224 of 2025 & IA No. 970 OF 2025 & IA No. 971 OF 2025

Dated: 26th August, 2025

Present : Hon`ble Mr. Justice Ramesh Ranganathan, Chairperson
Hon`ble Ms. Seema Gupta, Technical Member (Electricity)

In the matter of:

Bangalore Electricity Supply Company Limited .... Appellant(s)

Versus

Asian Fab Tech Limited & Anr. .... Respondent(s)

Counsel on record for the Appellant(s) : Shahbaaz Husain
V.M. Kannan
Stephania Pinto
K Sumanth Gowda
Fahad Khan
Insha Fatima
Ilma Subhan for App. 1

Counsel on record for the Respondent(s) :

ORDER

IA-970/2025
(For condonation of delay in filing the Appeal) (94 days)

The delay in filing the Appeal is of 56 days. The explanation furnished for the delay is that the Appellant had filed an application, for being furnished a certified copy of the impugned order, on 07.04.2025; and the certified copy of the impugned order was furnished to them on 08.04.2025. This would then result in reducing the period of delay from 56 days to 54 days. There is no valid explanation, much less has sufficient cause been shown, for the delay of 54 days in filing the present appeal. We are however of the view that the delay of 54 days is not so inordinate as to non-

suit the Applicant-Appellant on this score. We are satisfied that the delay can be condoned albeit on payment of cost which we quantify as Rs.20,000/-. On condition that the Applicant-Appellant pays costs of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only) to the Central Transmission Utility of India Limited (CTUIL) within four weeks from today, and files proof of payment in the Registry within two weeks thereafter. The delay in filing the present appeal is condoned.

Needless to state that, in case the aforesaid costs are not paid within four weeks from today and an affidavit of proof of payment is not filed in the Registry within two weeks thereafter, the application, for condonation of delay in filing the appeal, shall stand dismissed automatically, and the Appeal shall stand rejected. The IA is, accordingly, disposed of.

The Appellant shall also intimate the CTUIL of the Appeal No. and the date of the Order, in terms of which costs are being paid.

DFR-224/2025 & IA-971/2025

Since court notice has already been served on the third Respondent, failure of the appellant, to file affidavit of proof of service of notice, is of no consequence.

No counsel has entered appearance on behalf of any of the Respondents.

List the IA for hearing on ***13.10.2025.***

(Seema Gupta)
Technical Member (Electricity)

(Justice Ramesh Ranganathan)
Chairperson

mk/dk