COURT-1

IN THE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL FOR ELECTRICITY
(Appellate Jurisdiction)

APL No. 251 OF 2025 & IA No. 965 OF 2025 & IA No. 1454 OF 2025

Dated: 28th October, 2025

Present: Hon`ble Mr. Justice Ramesh Ranganathan, Chairperson
Hon`ble Mr. Ajay Talegaonkar, Technical Member (Electricity)

In the matter of:

Punjab State Power Corporation Limited	....	Appellant(s)
Versus		
Coastal Gujarat Power Limited (A Tata Power Company) & Ors.	....	Respondent(s)

Counsel on record for the Appellant(s)	:	Anand K. Ganesan Swapna Seshadri Amal Nair Shivani Verma Devyani Prasad for App. 1
Counsel on record for the Respondent(s)	:	Abhishek Ashok Munot Kunal Kaul Malcolm Dinyar Desai Samikrith Rao Puskuri for Res. 1 Ranjitha Ramachandran Srishti Khindaria Aneesh Bajaj for Res. 3

ORDER

IA NO. 1454 OF 2025
(For condonation of delay of 09 days in filing reply by R-1)

Despite repeated reminders of the need to file pleadings within the time sought for by the Counsel themselves, applications are still being filed seeking further extension of time for completing pleadings. Such requests not only disable us from striving to achieve the objective of the Act to dispose of the Appeal within 180 days, but also results in needless

wastage of precious court time, which can be more usefully spent in hearing Appeals on merits.

The present case is yet another instance of procrastination. We, however, see no reason to deny the 1st Respondent an opportunity to file their reply. We consider it appropriate to permit them to file reply on condition that the 1st Respondent pays costs of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) to the Central Transmission Utility of India Limited (CTUIL) within four weeks, and file proof of payment in the Registry within two weeks thereafter. Subject to compliance with the aforesaid directions, the delay in filing reply is condoned, and the reply filed by the 1st Respondent shall be taken on record. The application is disposed of.

The 1st Respondent shall also intimate the CTUIL of the Appeal No. and the date of Order, in terms of which costs are being paid.

IA NO. 965 OF 2025
(For interim relief)

Mr. Anand K. Ganesan, Learned Counsel for the Appellant, while fairly stating that the Appellant has complied with the directions in the impugned order in the present billing cycle and, therefore, the IA for interim relief may not necessitate adjudication at this stage, would, however, submit that the Appellant be granted liberty, in case any such bill is raised for the next billing cycle, to file a fresh IA seeking interim relief.

Granting liberty as sought for, the IA is dismissed as not pressed.

APPEAL NO. 251 OF 2025

Ms. Srishti Khindaria, Learned Counsel for the 3rd Respondent, states that they do not wish to file any reply. Her submission is recorded.

Mr. Anand K. Ganesan, Learned Counsel for the Appellant, states that their rejoinder is ready and will be filed in the Registry latest by tomorrow. Time is granted till 03.11.2025 to file their rejoinder.

Registry to verify whether the pleadings are otherwise complete. If the pleadings are complete, let the appeal be included in the '**List of finals**' to be taken up from there for hearing, in its turn.

Ajay Talegaonkar Technical Member (Electricity)	Justice Ramesh Ranganathan Chairperson

tpd/sk