

COURT-1

IN THE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL FOR ELECTRICITY
(Appellate Jurisdiction)

DFR No : 214 of 2025 & IA No. 934 OF 2025 & IA No. 932 OF 2025 & IA
No. 933 OF 2025

Dated: 4th August, 2025

Present : Hon`ble Mr. Justice Ramesh Ranganathan, Chairperson
Hon`ble Ms. Seema Gupta, Technical Member (Electricity)

In the matter of:

Andhra Sugars Limited

.... Appellant(s)

Versus

Andhra Pradesh Electricity Regulatory Commission & Anr.

.... Respondent(s)

Counsel on record for the Appellant(s) : Hitendra Nath Rath
Veronica Shikha Johnson
Laxmi for App. 1

Counsel on record for the Respondent(s) : Gaichangpou Gangmei
Arjun D Singh
Yimyangar Longkumer
Yashvir Kumar
Maitreya Mahaley
for Res. 1

ORDER

IA-934/2025

(For leave to file Appeal)

Leave, as sought for, is granted. The application is, accordingly,
disposed of.

IA-932/2025

(For condonation of delay in filing the Appeal) (54 days)

While the delay, as recorded by the Registry, is of 54 days in filing the
appeal, the explanation furnished for the delay of 54 days is of

administrative reasons which, in our view, does not constitute “sufficient cause” for condonation of delay. As the delay, in filing the appeal in the present case, is of 54 days, we deem it appropriate to condone the delay on condition that the Applicant-Appellant pays costs of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only) to the Central Transmission Utility of India Limited (CTUIL) within four weeks from today, and files proof of payment in the Registry within two weeks thereafter.

Mr. A. Ravinder, Learned Senior Counsel and Mr. Hitendra Nath Rath, Learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the Applicant-Appellant undertake to ensure payment of costs within the aforementioned stipulated period of four weeks. Recording their submission, the IA is, accordingly, disposed of.

The Appellant shall also intimate the CTUIL of the Appeal No. and the date of the Order, in terms of which costs are being paid.

DFR-214/2025 & IA-933/2025

Mr. Mr. A. Ravindran, Learned Senior Counsel appearing on behalf of the Appellant would submit that the subject matter of this appeal is covered against the Appellant by the earlier judgment of this Tribunal in Arjas Steal Pvt. Ltd. Vs. APERC 2024 SCC OnlineAptel 45. Following the said judgment, and in terms thereof, the present appeal is also dismissed. All the IAs therein are disposed of.

(Seema Gupta)
Technical Member (Electricity)

(Justice Ramesh Ranganathan)
Chairperson

mk/dk