COURT-1

IN THE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL FOR ELECTRICITY
(Appellate Jurisdiction)

APL No. 267 OF 2025 & IA No. 928 OF 2025

Dated: 13th February, 2026

Present : Hon`ble Mr. Justice Ramesh Ranganathan, Chairperson
Hon`ble Mr. Ajay Talegaonkar, Technical Member (Electricity)

In the matter of:

Tamil Nadu Generation and Distribution Corporation Limited .... Appellant(s)

Versus

Tamil Nadu Electricity Regulatory Commission & Ors. .... Respondent(s)

Counsel on record for the Appellant(s) : Anusha Nagarajan
for App. 1

Counsel on record for the Respondent(s) :
for Res. 1

Kumar Mihir
S.P. Parthasavathy
for Res. 2

Kumar Mihir
S.P. Parthasavathy
for Res. 5

Kumar Mihir
S.P. Parthasavathy
for Res. 6

Kumar Mihir
S.P. Parthasavathy
for Res. 7

Kumar Mihir
S.P. Parthasavathy
for Res. 8

Kumar Mihir
S.P. Parthasavathy
for Res. 9

Kumar Mihir
S.P. Parthasavathy
for Res. 10

Kumar Mihir
S.P. Parthasavathy
for Res. 11

Kumar Mihir
S.P. Parthasavathy
for Res. 12

Kumar Mihir
S.P. Parthasavathy
for Res. 13

Kumar Mihir
S.P. Parthasavathy
for Res. 14

Kumar Mihir
S.P. Parthasavathy
for Res. 16

Kumar Mihir
S.P. Parthasavathy
for Res. 17

Kumar Mihir
S.P. Parthasavathy
for Res. 18

Kumar Mihir
S.P. Parthasavathy
for Res. 19

Kumar Mihir
S.P. Parthasavathy
for Res. 20

Pranava Charan M G
Vasuki P K
Susmithaa Shri R
Guna A
Samyuktha S
for Res. 21

Shruti Iyer
Anandh K
Nishita Jagetia
Ang Bajaj
for Res. 22

ORDER

When the matter was listed before us on 14.07.2025 we had condoned the delay in filing the appeal, and had thereafter granted six weeks' time to the Respondents to file reply. We had also granted the Appellant four weeks' time thereafter to file their rejoinder. It does appear that the 21st Respondent did not enter appearance by then. Ms. Samyuktha S., Learned Counsel for the 21st Respondent, would seek further time to file reply contending that they had entered appearance as soon as they received Vakalatnama from the 21st Respondent.

The fact, however, remains that, despite time being granted on 14.07.2025 of six weeks, no reply was filed by the 21st Respondent evidently because they had not even entered appearance till January, 2026. Suffice it, in such circumstances, to make it clear that any request now for time to be granted to file reply can only be made on an IA being filed on their behalf explaining why the 21st Respondent did not enter appearance on or before 14.07.2025. Ms. Aakanshka Bhola, Learned Counsel for the Appellant,

requests that the IA for interim relief may be taken up for hearing after ten days.

Post the matter on ***02.03.2026.***

Ajay Talegaonkar Technical Member (Electricity)	Justice Ramesh Ranganathan Chairperson

mk/kns