COURT-1

IN THE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL FOR ELECTRICITY
(Appellate Jurisdiction)

DFR No : 152 of 2025 & IA No. 702 OF 2025 & IA No. 699 OF 2025 & IA No. 701 OF 2025 & IA No. 700 OF 2025

Dated: 13th May, 2025

Present : Hon`ble Mr. Justice Ramesh Ranganathan, Chairperson
Hon`ble Ms. Seema Gupta, Technical Member (Electricity)

In the matter of:

Jindal Steel and Power Limited	....	Appellant(s)
Versus		
Jharkhand State Electricity Regulatory Commission & Ors.	....	Respondent(s)

Counsel on record for the Appellant(s)	:	Hemant Singh Sourav Roy Mridul Chakravarty Biju Mattam Ankita Bafna Lakshyajit Singh Bagdwal Chetan Kumar Garg Supriya Rastogi Agarwal Nehul Sharma Harshit Singh Lavanya Panwar Alchi Thapliyal Sanjeev Singh Thakur Indrayudh Chowdhury for App. 1
Counsel on record for the Respondent(s)	:	for Res. 1 Shri Venkatesh Shryeshth Ramesh Sharma Kanika Chugh Ashutosh Kumar Srivastava Abhishek Nangia Akash Lamba Nihal Bhardwaj

Siddharth Nigotia
Shivam Kumar
Kartikay Trivedi
Aashwyn Singh
Mohit Gupta
Manu Tiwari
Harsh Vardhan
Aniket Kanhaua
Suhael Buttan
Priya Dhankar
Anant Singh
Vineet Kumar
Nikunj Bhatnagar
Kunal Veer Chopra
Vedant Choudhary
Aniket Kanhaua
Tarang Saraogi
for Res. 2

ORDER

Mr. Buddy A. Ranganadhan, Learned Senior Counsel appeared on behalf of the Applicant-Appellant.

IA-702/2025
(For Urgent Listing)

The matter listed before us, having served its purpose, stands disposed of.

IA-699/2025
(For condonation of delay in filing the Appeal) (88 days)

While the cause shown for the delay of 88 days in filing the appeal may not satisfy the test of “sufficient cause” being shown for the delay in filing the appeal, the delay is not so inordinate as not non-suit the Applicant-Appellant albeit, on imposition of costs which we quantify as Rs. 35,000/-.

On condition that the Applicant-Appellant pays costs of Rs.35,000/- (Rupees Thirty Five Thousand only) to the Central Transmission Utility of

India Limited (CTUIL), the delay is condoned. Mr. Hemant Singh, Learned Counsel for the Applicant-Appellant, on instructions, would submit that they would pay the costs within one week from today, and file proof of payment in the Registry within one week thereafter. Subject to compliance with the aforesaid directions, the delay is condoned.

Needless to state that, in case the aforesaid costs are not paid within the stipulated time and an affidavit of proof of payment is not filed in the Registry within one week thereafter, the application for condonation of delay in preferring the Appeal, shall stand dismissed automatically, and the Appeal shall stand rejected. The application is disposed of.

The Appellant shall also intimate the CTUIL of the Appeal No. and the date of the Order, in terms of which costs are being paid.

DFR-152/2025, IA-701/2025 & IA-700/2025

Mr. Shri Venkatesh, Learned Counsel for the second Respondent-Caveator is permitted to file reply within 10 days. Rejoinder, if any shall be filed within four days thereafter.

Issue notice to the other Respondents both in the main Appeal and in the IA returnable on 27.05.2025. Dasti notice is permitted. The said notice shall bear the next date of hearing.

Post the matter on ***27.05.2025.***

(Seema Gupta)
Technical Member (Electricity)

(Justice Ramesh Ranganathan)
Chairperson

mk/sk