

COURT-2

IN THE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL FOR ELECTRICITY
(Appellate Jurisdiction)

RP No. 7 OF 2025 & IA No. 1229 OF 2025

Dated: 13th October, 2025

Present: Hon`ble Ms. Seema Gupta, Technical Member (Electricity)
Hon`ble Mr. Virender Bhat, Judicial Member

In the matter of:

Rajasthan Steel Chambers Petitioner(s)

Versus

Jaipur Vidyut Vitran Nigam Ltd. & Ors. Respondent(s)

Counsel on record for the Petitioner(s) : Anand K. Ganesan
Swapna Seshadri
Aishwarya Subramani
Harsha V Rao
for App. 1

Counsel on record for the Respondent(s) : Sanjay Kumar Agarwal
Eesha Sharma
MrigangiParul
for Res. 1

Sanjay Kumar Agarwal
Eesha Sharma
Mrigangi Parul
for Res. 2

Sanjay Kumar Agarwal
Eesha Sharma
MrigangiParul
for Res. 3

PoorvaSaigal
Shubham Arya
Pallavi Saigal
Reeha Singh
Rishabh Saxena
Shirin Gupta
Harshvardhan Singh
for Res. 5

PoorvaSaigal
Shubham Arya
Pallavi Saigal

Reeha Singh
Rishabh Saxena
Shirin Gupta
Harshvardhan Singh
for Res. 7

ORDER

IA NO. 1229 OF 2025

(For condonation of delay of 07 days in filing reply by R-1 to R-3)

Learned Counsel for Respondent Nos. 1 to 3 submits that there was some technical glitch occurred while submitting the reply through e-filing system; however, a copy of the reply was served to the Appellant and a physical copy to the Registry within time.

We deem it appropriate, in such circumstances, to condone the delay. The IA is allowed, and the delay is condoned. The reply shall be taken on record. The IA stands disposed of accordingly.

RP NO. 7 OF 2025

Since affidavit of proof of service of notice on all the Respondents has been filed, failure to effect service of court notice on the 16th Respondent, is of no consequence.

Mr. Anand K. Ganesan, Learned Counsel for the Appellant, seeks one week's time to file rejoinder to the reply filed by Respondent Nos. 1 to 3. Time, as sought for, is granted.

At the request of Learned Counsel for the Appellant, list the matter on **10.11.2025**.

Virender Bhat
Judicial Member

Seema Gupta
Technical Member (Electricity)