

COURT-1

IN THE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL FOR ELECTRICITY
(Appellate Jurisdiction)

APL No. 108 OF 2025 & IA No. 789 OF 2025 & IA No. 404 OF 2025

Dated: 13th May, 2025

Present : Hon`ble Mr. Justice Ramesh Ranganathan, Chairperson
Hon`ble Ms. Seema Gupta, Technical Member (Electricity)

In the matter of:

Tamil Nadu Power Distribution Corporation Limited
(erstwhile Tamil Nadu Generation and Distribution Corporation Limited) Appellant(s)

Versus

Central Electricity Regulatory Commission & Ors. Respondent(s)

Counsel on record for the Appellant(s) : Anusha Nagarajan
for App. 1

Counsel on record for the Respondent(s) :
for Res. 1

Vishrov Mukerjee
Yashaswi Kant
Girik Bhalla
Pratyush Singh
Raghav Malhotra
Damodar Solanki
Priyanka Vyas
Anamika Rana
Nishtha Kumar
Juhi Senguttuvan
Rohan Sachdev
Shreya Sundaraman
for Res. 2

Vishrov Mukerjee
Yashaswi Kant
Girik Bhalla
Pratyush Singh
Raghav Malhotra
Damodar Solanki

Priyanka Vyas
Anamika Rana
Nishtha Kumar
Juhi Senguttuvan
Rohan Sachdev
Shreya Sundaraman
for Res. 3

ORDER

IA-789/2025

(For condonation of delay in filing reply) (R-2)(R-3) (8 days)

Despite repeated reminders of the need to file pleadings within the time sought for by the Counsel themselves, applications are still being filed seeking further extension of time for completing pleadings. Such requests not only disable us from striving to achieve the objective of the Act to dispose of the Appeal within 180 days, but also results in needless wastage of precious court time which can be more usefully spent in hearing Appeals on merits.

The present case is yet another instance of procrastination. We, however, see no reason to deny the second and third Respondents an opportunity to file their reply. We consider it appropriate to permit them to file reply on condition that the second and third Respondents pays costs of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) to the Central Transmission Utility of India Limited (CTUIL) within four weeks, and file proof of payment in the Registry within one week thereafter. Subject to compliance with the aforesaid directions, the delay in filing reply is condoned, and the reply filed by the second and third Respondents shall be taken on record.

The application is disposed of.

The second and third Respondents shall also intimate the CTUIL of the Appeal No. and the date of Order, in terms of which costs are being paid.

APL No. 108 OF 2025 & IA No. 404 OF 2025

Heard Ms. Anusha Nagarajan, Learned Counsel for the Appellant, in part.

Post the matter for further hearing on **16.05.2025.**

(Seema Gupta)
Technical Member (Electricity)
mk/sk

(Justice Ramesh Ranganathan)
Chairperson