

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH:: AMARAVATI

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE B KRISHNA MOHAN

WRIT PETITION No.9951 of 2023

Between:

Smt. Erukali Rama Subbamma,
W/o. Venkata Ramudu,
Aged about 53 years, Occ: Agriculture,
R/o. Uyyalawada Village,
Orvakal Mandal, Kurnool District.

.... Petitioner

And

The State of Andhra Pradesh, Rep by
its Principal Secretary, Revenue
Department, Secretariat, Velagapudi,
Guntur District and 3 others.

....Respondents

ORDER:

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue for the respondents.

2. The grievance of the writ petitioner is that, the 1st respondent is trying to interfere with the possession of the petitioner with respect to the subject land in an extent of Ac.2.00 cents in Survey No.797/A15 of Uyyalawada Village, Orvakal Mandal, Kurnool District, without following any due procedure.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, the petitioner is the owner and possessor of the subject land in an extent of Ac.2.00 cents in Survey No.797/A15 of Uyyalawada Village, Orvakal Mandal, Kurnool District. The Form-1B (ROR), dated 19.4.2023 discloses the name of the petitioner for the subject land.

4. The counsel for the petitioner submits that, the petitioner's father was the original assignee of the subject land and that the assignment copy is not available. But, the Form-1B (ROR) discloses the name of the petitioner for the subject land. However, the 1st respondent is trying to interfere with the possession of the petitioner on the ground that it is required for public purpose to allot the same to the third parties.

6. On the other hand, the learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue, appearing for the respondents, submits that the 1st respondent would follow the due procedure with respect to the subject land.

7. In view of the above said facts and circumstances, the 1st respondent is directed to not to dispossess the petitioner from the subject land except by following the due process of law. However, it does not preclude for the

respondent Nos.1 to 3 to recover/protect the subject land strictly in accordance with law.

8. Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, Miscellaneous Petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE B KRISHNA MOHAN

20.04.2023
KMS/BMS

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE B. KRISHNA MOHAN

WRIT PETITION No.9951 of 2023
20.04.2023

KMS/BMS