

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH :: AMARAVATI

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE B KRISHNA MOHAN

WRIT PETITION No. 6213 of 2023

Dasaraju Himachalam S/o. Late D
Krishnam Raju, Aged about 49 Years,
Cultivation, R/o. H No. 3-57,
P V Puram Village, Satyavedu Mandal,
Chittoor District.

.... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Andhra Pradesh, rep. By
its Principal Secretary, Revenue
(Assignment) Department, Secretariat
Bldgs, Amaravati, and 3 others.

....Respondents

ORDER:

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the
learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue for the
respondents

2. The grievance of the writ petitioner is that, the 4th
respondent is trying to dispossess the petitioner from the
subject land in an extent of Ac. 2.26 cents in Survey No.
303/1, Ac. 0.60 cents in Survey No. 305/1 and Ac. 1.64
cents in Survey No.305/2 in total Ac. 4.50 cents situated in P
V Puram, Satyavedu Mandal, Chittoor District, without
following the due procedure.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that,
originally the petitioner's grand-mother was given D-Form

patta for the subject land with certain terms and conditions and the same was not violated. Now that the petitioner is in possession and enjoyment of the subject land. The pattadar passbook issued dated 04.01.2016 *vide* patta No.406 also discloses the name of the petitioner for the subject land. While so, the 4th respondent is trying to dispossess the petitioner without issuing any notice and without following any procedure known to law.

4. On the other hand, the learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue appearing for the respondents submits that, the 4th respondent would deal with the subject land by following the due procedure.

5. In view of the above said facts and circumstances, the 3rd and 4th respondents are directed not to dispossess the petitioner, if he is in possession of the same as of now except by following the due process of law from the subject land. However, it does not preclude for the respondent Nos.2 to 4 to recover/protect the subject land strictly in accordance with law.

6. Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed off. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, Miscellaneous Petitions pending, if any,
shall stand closed.

15.03.2023
PGT / BSK

JUSTICE B KRISHNA MOHAN