

HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATHI

WP/2094/2023	WP/1924/2023	WP/1954/2023	WP/1957/2023	WP/1963/2023
WP/1964/2023	WP/1965/2023	WP/1966/2023	WP/1974/2023	WP/2096/2023
WP/2097/2023	WP/2098/2023	WP/2101/2023	WP/2105/2023	WP/2108/2023
WP/2109/2023	WP/2110/2023	WP/2111/2023	WP/2112/2023	WP/2113/2023
WP/2114/2023	WP/2115/2023	WP/2116/2023	WP/2117/2023	WP/2120/2023
WP/2126/2023	WP/2130/2023	WP/2132/2023	WP/2133/2023	WP/2134/2023
WP/2279/2023	WP/2616/2023	WP/2640/2023	WP/2727/2023	WP/2728/2023
WP/2734/2023	WP/2752/2023	WP/3032/2023	WP/3034/2023	WP/3036/2023
WP/3053/2023	WP/3056/2023	WP/3093/2023	WP/3099/2023	WP/3100/2023
WP/3918/2023	WP/3919/2023	WP/3942/2023	WP/3944/2023	WP/4147/2023
WP/4157/2023	WP/4169/2023	WP/4178/2023	WP/4193/2023	WP/4199/2023
WP/4379/2023	WP/4398/2023	WP/4406/2023	WP/4408/2023	WP/4413/2023
WP/4437/2023	WP/4450/2023	WP/6658/2023	WP/35193/2022	WP/35195/2022
WP/35197/2022	WP/35198/2022	WP/35199/2022	WP/37939/2022	WP/42469/2022

PROCEEDING SHEET

Sl. No	DATE	ORDER	Office Note
	29.03.2023	<u>GRKP, J</u> Heard in part. 2. Learned Counsel for APSCHE has submitted a tentative schedule. Learned Counsel for the Writ Petitioners have also received the Schedule. 3. Sri V. Hemanth Kumar, Learned Counsel representing NCTE submitted that, the discussions and deliberations, which took place between the APSCHE and the Universities, were neither made known to the NCTE nor was the NCTE called-upon to participate in the deliberations. He submits that NCTE ought to have been called-upon to participate in these deliberations so that the NCTE would also	

		indicate its concerns during the process of discussion. It so transpired that the NCTE would hold independent inspection which is different from the inspections being held by the respective Universities. This Court has suggested that a Joint Inspection be made consisting members of NCTE as well as the respective Universities in order to prevent duplication or repetition of inspections, which are not enduring to the benefit of either of the Colleges or the Students. 4. The paramount consideration of this Court being that the Students shall have seamless educational course in the respective Colleges, the Court has suggested that the existing system of inspections, reports, recommendations and penalties will have to be rationalized to see that, there shall be one initial inspection, which will be a Joint Inspection by the members of NCTE as well as the members of the respective Universities, and there shall be one Report reflecting the opinion/reflections of the NCTE as well as the Universities either jointly or individually. Even if the concerns of these bodies are independent, it shall be so stated in the Report, but there shall be one Report reflecting the opinion/reflections of both the expert bodies. 5. Sri V. Hemanth Kumar, Learned	
--	--	---	--

		Counsel appearing for NCTE, has sought time to put forth the schedule submitted by the APSCHE to the NCTE for further consideration. The NCTE, APSCHE and the Universities shall make an endeavour to constitute one Inspection Committee (Fact Finding Committee) jointly and submit a Report as indicated above. 6. List as Part-Heard on 12.04.2023 for further consideration. 7. NCTE may also file an Affidavit expressing its concerns before the next date. 8. Appearance of JNTU, Kakinada and Ananthapur, is dispensed with. 9. Registry is directed to print the name of V. Hemanth Kumar, Learned Counsel, who is Respondent No.4, in all the cases. 10. De-tag WP.No.17825 of 2022. GRKP, J KPV	
--	--	---	--