



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA
PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3332]

WEDNESDAY, THE THIRD DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE RAVI CHEEMALAPATI

WRIT PETITION NO: 18895/2023

Between:

1.K VENKATESH, S/O. K. JHOTAIAH, AGED 28 YEARS,
R/O. H.NO.12-4, CHENCHUVARI COLONY, PERURU,
TIRUPATI RURAL MANDAL, CHITTOOR DISTRICT,
NOW IN TIRUPATI DISTRICT

...PETITIONER

AND

1.THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY ITS
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, PANCHAYAT RAJ AND
RURAL DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT, A.P
SECRETARIAT AT VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATHI,
GUNTUR DISTRICT

2.THE PANCHAYAT SECRETARY, , PERURU
PANCHAYAT, TIRUPATI RURAL MANDAL, CHITTOOR
DISTRICT, NOW IN TIRUPATI DISTRICT

3.THE TAHSILDAR, TIRUPATI RURAL MANDAL,
CHITTOOR DISTRICT, NOW IN TIRUPATI DISTRICT

4.THE HATHIRAMJI MUTT, REP. BY ITS MAHANTH /
CUSTODIAN, TIRUPATI, CHITTOOR DISTRICT, NOW IN

TIRUPATI DISTRICT

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased topleased to issue a writ order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the Respondents in trying to dispossess / interfere with possession and enjoyment of the Petitioner in respect of the Plot an extent of Ac.0.50 cents, 2nd Plot admeasuring 7875 sq.feet (708.75 sq.yards) and 3rd Plot admeasuring 154.27 sq.yards in Sy.No.9/1 of Pudipetla Revenue Village lands, Perumalapalli Village, Tirupati Rural Mandal, Chittoor District, now in Tirupati District without following due process of law as illegal, arbitrary and unconstitutional and consequently direct the Respondents riot to dispossess / interfere with possession and enjoyment of the Petitioner in respect of the Plot an extent of Ac.0.50 cents, 2nd Plot admeasuring 7875 sq.feet (708.75 sq.yards) and 3rd Plot admeasuring 154.27 sq.yards in Sy.No.9/1 of Pudipetla Revenue Village lands, Perumalapalli Village, Tirupati Rural Mandal, Chittoor District, now in Tirupati District without following due process of law and pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2023

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased pleased to direct the Respondents 2 to 4 not to dispossess / interfere with the possession and enjoyment of the Petitioner in respect of the land an extent of Ac.0.50 cents (1st Plot), 154.27 sq.yards (2nd Plot), 7875 sq.feet (708.75 sq.yards) (3rd Plot) in Sy.No.9/1 of Pudipetla Revenue Village lands, Perumalapalli Village, Tirupati Rural Mandal, Chittoor District, now in Tirupati District without following due process of law and pass

IA NO: 2 OF 2023

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to permit me to amend the 2nd Respondent as The Perumallapalli Gram Panchayat represented by its Panchayat Secretary, Perumallapalli, Tirupati Rural Mandal, Chittoor District, Now in Tirupati District in the place of existing 2nd Respondent and pass

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.G RAMESH BABU

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.VENKATA REDDY GAJJALA SC FOR ZPP, MPP, AND
GRAM PANCHAYAT

2.GP FOR REVENUE

3.GP FOR PANCHAYAT RAJ RURAL DEV

4.T V S KUMAR

The Court made the following Order:

Challenging the action of the respondents in trying to interfere with or dispossess the petitioner from the lands admeasuring Ac.0.50 cents, 708.75 Sq.yards (second plot) and 154.27 Sq.yards (third plot) situated in Sy.No.9/1 of Pudipetla Revenue Village lands, Perumalapalli Village, Tirupati Rural Mandal, Chittoor District, without following due process of law, the present writ petition is filed.

2. Heard Sri G. Ramesh Babu, learned counsel for the petitioner, Ms. Naga Chandrika, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Panchayat Raj and learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue.

3. When the matter is taken up for consideration today, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that pursuant to filing of the writ petition, the structures which are lying on the subject property have been removed. In view of the same, nothing remains for adjudication in the writ petition and prayed to close the same giving liberty to the petitioner to avail remedies available under law.

4. Recording the said submission, the Writ Petition is **closed**, granting liberty to the petitioner to avail remedies available under law. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel thereto, interlocutory applications pending, if any in the Writ Petition, shall also stand closed.

JUSTICE RAVI CHEEMALAPATI

Date: 03.12.2025
ANS

392

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE RAVI CHEEMALAPATI

WRIT PETITION NO: 18895/2023

Date: 03.12.2025
ANS