

HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATHI

**MAIN CASE No: CC/5000/2023; CC/5001/2023; CC/5002/2023
CC/5003/2023; CC/5004/2023; CC/5005/2023
CC/5006/2023 in WP/11658/2023; WP/11663/2023
WP/11665/2023; WP/11666/2023; WP/11689/2023
WP/11691/2023; WP/11693/2023**

PROCEEDING SHEET

Sl. No	DATE	ORDER	Office Note
	14.10.2025	<u>GRKP, J</u> Heard Sri Polisetty Radha Krishna, learned Counsel for the Contempt Petitioners; Sri P. Venkata Sai Krishna, learned Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1 & 3; Sri G. Hema Sunder, learned Counsel representing Sri J. Dilip Kumar, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.2; Ms. V. Sireesha Rani, learned Standing Counsel for Municipalities and Sri Kalyan Chakravarthi, learned Government Pleader for Medical & Health. <u>I.A.Nos.2 of 2023 & I.A.Nos.1 of 2024 in</u> <u>WP/11658/2023; WP/11663/2023</u> <u>WP/11665/2023; WP/11666/2023; WP/11689/2023</u> <u>WP/11691/2023; WP/11693/2023</u> 2. In Review Petitions bearing (I.A.Nos.2 of 2023 & I.A.Nos.1 of 2024), the Review Petitioners in I.A.Nos.1 of 2024 have placed on record certain annexures. One such annexure is a letter addressed by Sri N.V.V. Satyanarayana Rao, Regional Director-cum-Appellate, Commissioner of Municipal Administration, Rajamahendravaram, to the Collector & District Magistrate bearing Lr.Roc.No.866/2023-A3, dated 25.09.2023 (which is	Cont..

		at page 38 of the Review Petitions in I.A.No.1 of 2024). The last paragraph of the said letter is usefully extracted hereunder: <i>“In view of the above, it is humbly submitted to the Collector & District Magistrate, West Godavari District, Bhimavaram that the drastic single judge writ orders in 7 No.s of writ petitions passed by the Hon'ble High Court without hearing the opposite parties which devastating consequences leaving no way of undoing except pay the principal amount to petitioners. Hence, raised bills by the petitioners are based on fictitious, which are tainted with fraud, it is not in position to make payment of bills Rs.2,36,99,372/- to contractors based on the Hon'ble High Court writ orders. It is essential to finalize the bills with officers with well expert in engineering works and knowledge in auditing of work bills. Hence, the ENC (PH) may be requested to depute an officer with a designated Executive Engineer for finalization engineering work bills. In the mean while, file Writ Appeal in Hon'ble High Court in consultation with the concerned Government Pleader/Special Government Pleader for contest the mater and avoid contempt cases.” (emphasis supplied)</i> 3. The above extract would indicate that the concerned Officer, while commenting on the correctness of the Order passed by the learned Single Judge of this Court dated 10.05.2023 in the above mentioned Writ Petitions, has resorted to the use of such language which is not only objectionable but smacks of ill-temper and disrespect towards the High Court as an Institution and also against the learned Judge of this Hon'ble Court. 4. Use of such language against the Institution and Judge of this Hon'ble Court by	Cont..
--	--	---	--------

	Officers, even in inter-departmental and intra-departmental correspondence, is absolutely impermissible and therefore such conduct is viewed seriously, and it also, <i>prima facies</i>, tantamounts to <i>ex facie</i> Contempt under Section 15 the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 (Act, 1971). 5. Since this Court is of the <i>prima facie</i> view that the language employed by the Officer is <i>ex facie</i> contemptuous, this Court is inclined to initiate <i>Suo motu</i> Contempt Proceedings under Section 15 of the Act, 1971 against the said Officer, namely Sri N.V.V. Satyanarayana, who then worked as Regional Director-cum-Appellate, Commissioner of Municipal Administration, Rajamahendravaram. He is now stated to be working in the Head Office of Municipal Administration, Vijayawada. 6. Registry is directed to register <i>Suo motu</i> Contempt case against Sri N.V.V. Satyanarayana. 7. Registrar (Judicial) is directed to convey the gist of this Order and also a copy of this Order to the Contemnor, Sri N.V.V. Satyanarayana, within three days from today. 8. Sri N.V.V. Satyanarayana, Officer is directed to file his response regarding the use of objectionable language against the learned Judge of this Hon'ble Court and the Institution as indicated in Paras 2 to 4 hereinabove, within one week from the date of receipt of this Order. 9. Registrar (Judicial) is also permitted to	Cont..
--	--	--------

		convey the Order through <i>WhatsApp</i> message to the said Officer (Ph.No.7997118889) (which shall be deemed as sufficient Notice) and also convey the scanned material papers through email, after ascertaining the same from the Officer. 10. Let Counter Affidavits be filed in I.A.Nos.2 of 2023 and 1 of 2024 (Review Petitions) by the Writ Petitioners in the Writ Petitions before the next date. 11. List on 03.11.2025. <u>CC/5000/2023; CC/5001/2023; CC/5002/2023</u> <u>CC/5003/2023; CC/5004/2023; CC/5005/2023</u> <u>CC/5006/2023 in WP/11658/2023; WP/11663/2023</u> <u>WP/11665/2023; WP/11666/2023; WP/11689/2023</u> <u>WP/11691/2023; WP/11693/2023</u> List on 04.11.2025. _____ GRKP, J DSV	
--	--	---	--