

HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH : AMARAVATI**MAIN CASE: W.P (PIL). No.60 of 2023****PROCEEDINGS SHEET**

Sl. No.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
2.	08.05.2023	<i>(Through Physical Mode)</i> Referring to Rule 8(2) of the National Highways Fee (Determination of Rates and Collection) Rules, 2008, it is argued by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the subject toll plaza is situated within a distance of 27 kms and that the two toll plazas referred in this writ petition are situated at a distance of 27 kms and the same is evident from Ex.P-2 at page No.41 of the material papers. Learned Standing Counsel for National Highways Authority of India, in reply, refers to sub-rule (3) of Rule 1 of the Rules read with the proviso to sub-rule (2) of Rule 8 of the Rules to argue that there is no illegality in establishing the subject toll plaza within a distance of 60 kms. Post this case after Summer Vacation, 2023. Personal notice to respondent No.6 is permitted. In the meanwhile, the respondents shall file the counter-affidavit (s). PRASHANT KUMAR MISHRA, CJ NINALA JAYASURYA, J AMD	

Sl. No.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE