

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI
TUESDAY, THE TWENTY EIGHTH DAY OF OCTOBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE


:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE B V L N CHAKRAVARTHI

IA No. 1 OF 2025

IN

CRLA NO: 671 OF 2025



Between:

Siddaiah @ Sidda Raju, Aged 31 Years, S/o. Ramappa, R/o. D.No.1-61,
Ranganapalli Village, Rolla Mandal, Anantapuramu District

...Petitioner(s)

(Petitioner/Appellant in CRLA 671 OF 2025

on the file of High Court)

AND

1. The State of Andhra Pradesh, Represented by its Public Prosecutor
High Court at Amaravathi.

...Respondent/Respondent

2. The Victim,

...Respondent(s)

(Respondent in-do-)

Petition under Section 381(1) of Cr.P.C/ Under Section 430(1) of BNSS
praying that in the circumstances stated in the memorandum of grounds filed
in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to release the
petitioner/appellant on bail by suspending the Sentence passed in S.C.No.07
of 2020, Dated; 26/09/2025 by the IV Additional District and Sessions Judge-
Cum- /Special Judge for Trial of Offences Against Women at Anantapuramu,
Pending disposal of CRLA No. 671 of 2025, on the file of the High Court.

Counsel for the Petitioner :SRI YATTAPU BHARATH KUMAR REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent No.1 :PUBLIC PROSECUTOR

The Court made the following

ORDER:

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner/appellant/convict and learned Additional Public Prosecutor representing the State.

Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that petitioner/convict was found guilty for the offences Sections 376 and 506 of IPC. Accordingly, he was convicted and sentenced to undergo rigorous imprisonment for a period of seven (7) years and to pay a fine of Rs.5,000/- (Rupees Five Thousand only) and in default shall undergo simple imprisonment for a period of three (03) months for the offence under Section 376 IPC and also sentenced to undergo rigorous imprisonment for a period of one (1) year. He would submit that the Trial Court erroneously convicted the accused without appreciating the facts in proper perspective. He would submit that there is every chance of succeeding in the appeal. He further submits that the sentence imposed by the Trial Court is fixed sentence of seven years. The petitioner was on bail during trial and there is no possibility of hearing of the appeal in the near future and that the appellant paid the fine amount and file the receipt and considering the above facts and circumstances, the order of sentence imposed by the trial Court may be suspended, pending disposal of the appeal.

Learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed the application. However, no written objections filed. But he would submit that there are no antecedents regarding violation of bail conditions during the trial.

Considering the above facts and circumstances, as the sentence imposed by the trial Court is fixed sentence of seven years, and as there

is no likelihood of hearing the appeal in the near future, this Court is of the considered opinion that *"the order of sentence imposed by the learned Trial Court alone be suspended subject to the following conditions"*:

- i) The petitioner/appellant shall be enlarged on bail, on executing a personal bond for Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only), with two (02) sureties for a like sum each, to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court.
- ii) On release, the petitioner/appellant shall appear before the learned trial Court on every fourth Saturday of the month, till disposal of the appeal.
- iii) The petitioner/appellant shall not leave the country without permission of the Court.
- iv) The petitioner/appellant shall not intimidate or annoy the victim and her family members, in any manner.
- v) If the petitioner/appellant violates any of the above conditions, the prosecution is at liberty to file an application seeking cancellation of the bail.

Accordingly, this application is ordered.

//TRUE COPY//

SD/-U.SRI DEVI
DEPUTY REGISTRAR
SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The IV Additional District and Sessions Judge-Cum- /Special Judge for Trial of Offences Against Women at Anantapuramu, Anantapuramu District
2. The Judicial First Class Magistrate, Madakasira.
3. The Superintendent, Central Prison, Kadapa, YSR Kadapa District

4. One CC to SRI. YATTAPU BHARATH KUMAR REDDY Advocate
[OPUC]
5. Two CCs to PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, High Court of Andhra Pradesh
[OUT]
6. One spare copy

PSR

HIGH COURT

BVLNC,J

DATED:28/10/2025

NOTE: LIST THE MATTER IN USUAL COURSE.

ORDER

**IA No. 1 OF 2025
IN
CRLA NO: 671 OF 2025**

IA No. 1 OF 2025 IS ORDERED

