

HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH

MAIN CASE NO.: **C.R.P.Nos.231 and 232 of 2023 and
C.R.P.Sr.No.4414 of 2023**

PROCEEDING SHEET

Sl. No.	Date	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
1.	03.02.2023	<u>RC, J</u> <u>I.A.No.1 of 2023 in C.R.P.Sr.No.4414 of 2023</u> For the reasons stated in the accompanying affidavit filed in support of the application and as no objection has been raised by the other side, leave granted. Accordingly, the application is allowed. <u>RC, J</u> <u>C.R.P.Nos.231 & 232 of 2023 and C.R.P.Sr.No.4414 of 2023</u> When the matters are taken for consideration, Sri Posani Venkateswarlu, learned Senior Counsel representing for respondents submitted that the 1st respondent is the auction purchaser and though the auction took place way back in the year 2009, the auction purchaser did not get his property and the matter is pending before the Courts and there are review applications and also civil revision petitions i.e., S.A.M.P.No.2034 of 2014 (I.A.No.1 of 2014) in/and S.A.No.996 of 2010; S.A.M.P.No.2072 of 2014 (I.A.No.1 of 2014) in/and S.A.No.998 of 2010; CRPMP No.5089 of	

		2014 (I.A.No.1 of 2014) in/and C.R.P.No.4551 of 2010; CRPMP No.5208 of 2014 (I.A.No.1 of 2014) in/and C.R.P.No.4552 of 2010 and C.R.P.No.736 of 2019 and if all those matters are taken up for consideration together and pass orders, there can be a quietus to the litigation. To the said submission, Sri M.R.K.Chakravarthy, learned counsel for the petitioners, who is also representing Sri Bhagat Singh Kowru, contended that by virtue of the orders impugned, dated 29.12.2022 in these matters, the possession may be taken away from the petitioner, as such, till the disposal of these matters, a protection may be given to the petitioner/claim petitioner and he further contended that though there is an interim stay granted by this Court in C.R.P.No.4551 of 2020 granting stay of execution proceedings until further orders, the Court below ignoring the said order, passed the impugned orders as such, prayed to pass appropriate orders. To the said submission, learned Senior Counsel for the respondents submitted that, they will not press for execution of the E.P or for delivery of the schedule property, as such interim order need not be considered. Recording the submissions made by the learned Senior Counsel, in view of the above	
--	--	---	--

		facts and circumstances and in view of the urgency expressed by the learned counsel, this Court made the following direction:- Registry is directed to list these CRPs along with S.A.M.P.No.2034 of 2014 (I.A.No.1 of 2014) in/and S.A.No.996 of 2010; S.A.M.P.No.2072 of 2014 (I.A.No.1 of 2014) in/and S.A.No.998 of 2010; CRPMP No.5089 of 2014 (I.A.No.1 of 2014) in/and C.R.P.No.4551 of 2010; CRPMP No.5208 of 2014 (I.A.No.1 of 2014) in/and C.R.P.No.4552 of 2010 and C.R.P.No.736 of 2019 after obtaining permission from the Hon'ble The Chief Justice, at the earliest. RC, J SPP	
--	--	---	--

