

HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH :: AMARAVATHI

MAIN CASE NO: CrI.R.C.No.629 of 2024

PROCEEDING SHEET

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
01.	22.07.2024	<u>Dr.VRKS, J</u> <u>I.A.No. 1 of 2023</u> Heard both the learned counsel. Perused the record. This application is filed under Section 5 of Limitation Act to condone the delay of 102 days in representing the revision. The delay is attributed to misplacement of the record. Considering this explanation satisfactory, the delay is condoned, and this petition is allowed. <u>I.A.No.1 of 2024</u> Heard both the learned counsel. Perused the record. Challenging the Order of the Family Court, the husband intended to file a revision, but there was a delay of 34 days in representing the revision. The delay is attributed to misplacement of the record.	(Contd...)

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
		Considering this explanation satisfactory, the delay is condoned, and this petition is allowed. <u>Crl.R.C.No.454 of 2023</u> After hearing Sri Rosedar S.R.A., learned counsel for respondent Nos.1 and 2 and Sri K.Narsi Reddy, learned counsel appearing on behalf of Ms.Gouthami Surapareddy, learned ccounsel for the revision petitioner, the revision petitioner is directed to file appropriate calculations of payments to demonstrate whether the Order dated 13.06.2023 passed by this Court has been fully complied with or not. This shall be completed by the next occasion. Both learned counsel will argue the revision itself at the next occasion. List the matter on 08.08.2024 <u>Dr.VRKS, J</u> <i>S D P</i>	

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE