

HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI

C.C.No.956 of 2023

PROCEEDING SHEET

Sl. No	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
04.	03.10.2023	<u>UDPR, J</u> Learned Standing Counsel for respondents would submit that in view of the nature of the order, the respondent bank at first wanted to get an undertaking from the petitioners that in future such type of irregular activities would not occur and upon such receiving undertaking from the petitioners they thought of reinstating them in their work and accordingly a format was given to them but so far more than one year has elapsed but the petitioners have not approached and in that view of the matter the other alternative course of conducting regular enquiry as observed in the order of this Court could not be taken up. Per contra, learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the 2nd respondent has summoned the petitioners and asked them to submit an undertaking as if the petitioners have	

		committed irregularities and they would not repeat such irregularities in future and upon giving such undertaking, the 2nd respondent told that they would be taken into service, for which course the petitioners did not agree. Learned counsel would submit that so far regular enquiry has not been conducted. In these circumstances, this Court is of the view that the respondent has to follow the other course available to it i.e., to conduct regular enquiry. As already considerable time has elapsed after passing of the order in the writ petition, respondent authorities shall conduct enquiry by following due process and pass order on merits expeditiously but not later than three weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order. Post after three weeks. <u>UDPR, J</u> <u>NOTE:</u> Issue CC by 05.10.2023 (B/o) krk	
--	--	--	--