



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH  
AT AMARAVATI  
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

**[3207]**

FRIDAY, THE SIXTH DAY OF MARCH  
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

**PRESENT**

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE BATTU DEVANAND**

**CONTEMPT CASE NO: 1810/2023**

**Between:**

1.M M VEERASWAMY, S/O M RAMULU AGED ABOUT 70 YEARS, H.  
NO. 1940 KANCHANGUEM VILLAGE, CHINTALAPUDI MANDAL  
PRAGADAVARAM, WEST GODAVARI DISTRICT.

**...PETITIONER**

**AND**

1.B RAJASHAKER I A S, PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, PANCHAYAT RAJ  
DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT BUILDINGS, VELAGAPUDI,  
AMARAVATHI, GUNTUR DISTRICT.

2.SRI SHAMER SHARMA RAWAT I A S, PRINCIPAL SECRETARY,  
FINANCE DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT BUILDINGS, VELAGAPUDI,  
AMARAVATHI, GUNTUR DISTRICT.

3.SRI PRASANNA VENKATESH I A S, DISTRICT COLLECTOR, WEST  
GODAVARI DISTRICT

4.SRI JAGGESWARA RAO, EXECUTIVE ENGINEER, P R DIVISION  
JANGAREDDIGUDAM, WEST GODAVARI DISTRICT.

5.SRI SRINIVASA RAO, DEPUTY EXECUTIVE ENGINEER, PRI  
DIVISION CHINTALAPUDI, WEST GODAVARI DISTRICT.

6.SRI KRISHNA RAO, MANDAL ENGINEERING OFFICER MANDAL  
PRAJA PARISHAD CHINTHALPUDI, WEST GODAVARI DISTRICT.

**...CONTEMNOR(S):**

Petition under Sections 10 to 12 of Contempt of Courts Act 1971 praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit file herein the High Court may be pleased to be pleased to punish the Respondents under Section 10 to 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act willfully disobeying the orders of this Hon'ble Court in W.P.No. 29031 of 2022, Dt.28.09.2022 passed by Hon'ble Justice BATTU DEVANAND garu and pass

**Counsel for the Petitioner:**

1.P RAVIKANTH

**Counsel for the Contemnor(S):**

1.RAMA RAO KOCHIRI

2.KIRAN KUMAR VADLAMUDI

3.OMKAR D

4.KIRAN KUMAR VADLAMUDI-08069/AP/3204/2001

**The Court made the following:**

**THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE BATTU DEVANAND****CONTEMPT CASE No.1810 of 2023****ORDER:**

This contempt case is filed complaining the wilful disobedience of the order dated 28.09.2022 in W.P.No.29031 of 2022 passed by this Court.

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for the respondents.

3. Learned counsel for the respondents, on instructions, would submit that the order of the Court is complied with.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner also endorsed the same, but would submit that the order of the Court is not complied with within the time stipulated by the Court and, as such, the compliance is not in the true letter and spirit.

5. On careful perusal of the record, it reveals that admittedly there is delay in implementing the order of the Court in its true letter and spirit within time stipulated by the Court. In fact, this Court number of times advised the respondents to be more careful and conscious in implementing the orders of the Court within the time stipulated. However, it appears that there is no change in the attitude of the officers. In the present case also admittedly there is delay. When this Court asked the respondents who are present before this

Court about the delay occurred in implementing the orders of the Court, they tendered their unconditional apology.

6. Taking into consideration of the unconditional apology tendered by them as bonafide, this Court intends to take lenient view against them in this contempt case to meet the ends of justice.

7. Accordingly, this Contempt Case is closed.

8. It is made it clear that the respondents shall be more careful and vigilant in implementing the orders of this Court in its true letter and spirit in future.

9. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

---

**JUSTICE BATTU DEVANAND**

Date: 06.03.2026

JLV

**197**

**THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE BATTU DEVANAND**

**CONTEMPT CASE No.1810 of 2023**

Dt.06.03.2026

JLV