

**HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI**

**MAIN CASE: C.C. Nos.1470, 1471, 1475, 1476, 1477, 1480 &  
1484 of 2023 & W.P.No.40372 of 2018**

**PROCEEDING SHEET**

<b>Sl. No.</b>	<b>DATE</b>	<b>ORDER</b>	
16.	25.09.2023	<p><b><u>RNT,J</u></b></p> <p>1. Heard Sri. V.R. Reddy Kovvuri, learned counsel for the contempt petitioners; Sri. Kasa Jagannathan Reddy, learned counsel for the respondents and Sri. J. Ugranarasimha, learned counsel for some of the contempt petitioners.</p> <p>2. Sri. N. Srinivasulu Reddy, Chief Engineer, NTR, T.G.P.Project, Tirupathi, Tirupathi District/1<sup>st</sup> respondent and Sri. B.V. Ramana Reddy, Superintending Engineer (FAC), Somasila Project, Dargamitta, SPSR Nellore District/2<sup>nd</sup> respondent are present.</p> <p>3. Respondent No.3 Sri. B. Sasibhushan Kumar, I.A.S., Principal Secretary, I and CAD Department, A.P. Secretariat Buildings, at Velagapudi, Guntur District, is not present. An application has been moved to dispense with his presence.</p> <p>4. Considering the submissions advanced, the presence of the 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent for today is dispensed with. He shall appear on the next date, fixed.</p>	

5. Sri. Kasa Jaganmohan Reddy, learned counsel appearing for the respondents and Sri. J. Ugranarasimha, learned counsel raised again the same submissions which were already raised on the previous date and were considered in the order dated 15.09.2023. This Court for the reasons assigned in the order dated 15.09.2023, prima facie finding that there was willful disobedience of the writ courts' order, recorded that the contempt petitions deserve to be proceeded further and fixed the matters for today for framing charges. Any further consideration of the same arguments is considered not appropriate, at this stage as, that may effect the defense of the respondents in the contempt proceedings.

6. The Court proceeds to frame the charges as under:

1) You, Sri. N. Srinivasulu Reddy, Chief Engineer, NTR, T.G.P.Project, Tirupathi, Tirupathi District, have willfully and deliberately disobeyed the judgment and order of the writ Court dated 07.07.2022 passed in W.P.No.40372 of 2018 and batch. As to why you be not punished, on proof of the charge, under Section 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

2) You, Sri. B.V. Ramana Reddy, Superintending Engineer (FAC), Somasila Project, Dargamitta, SPSR Nellore District, have willfully and deliberately disobeyed the judgment and order of the writ Court dated 07.07.2022 passed in W.P.No.40372 of 2019 and batch. As to why you be not punished, on proof of the charge, under Section 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

7. Respondent Nos.1 and 2, at request are granted three (3) weeks time to answer the charge and are granted opportunity to file evidence in support of their defense, on affidavit before the next date of listing.

8. Post on 16.10.2023.

9. The 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent shall appear on the date fixed, interms of previous order dated 15.09.2023 for framing of charges against him.

10. Sri. Kasa Jaganmohan Reddy, learned counsel submits that the respondents are still willing to comply with the writ courts' order by including the names of other petitioners subject to fulfillment of the conditions interms of the order of writ Court.

11. It is open for the respondents to ensure compliance of the writ Court's judgment in letter and spirit, before the next date of listing.

